

Brian E. Curtis, Esq. Stryker, Tams & Dill LLP August 2010 Volume 2, Issue 8

EMPLOYMENT NEWS ALERT

www.employmentnewsalert.com

WORKER CLASSIFICATIONS - EMPLOYEES OR INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS?

INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS ... DOES THE GOVERNMENT VIEW THEM AS 'EMPLOYEES' OR AS 'DOLLAR SIGNS'?

Whether it be the IRS, the U.S. Dept. of Labor (DOL), or any number of our individual state's labor departments, the question above is a valid one to make right now.

The Great Recession with its losses of millions of jobs, as well as its aftermath with the nation's stubbornly high unemployment numbers continuing today and the looming threat of a 'double dip', has prompted government to take an even closer look at how private employers are



classifying their workers. But are they looking at these classifications as a way to protect workers or as a means to generate additional revenue in the form of penalties, interest, and back taxes against employers who have misclassified? In other words, are these workers 'employees' or are they just 'dollar signs'?

This is no surprise to executives and HR managers here, especially those who receive the *NewsFlash*, as ENA first warned back in October 2009 of the DOL's plan to step up its audit and enforcement activities in worker classifications and potential wage/hour violations. As previously reported by ENA, many of the NJ workers laid off in the last two years who have recently found work have been re-hired by their former employers -- the difference now being that they have been re-hired as independent contractors.

As any manager can tell you, there are significant payroll savings when classifying workers as independent contractors. For example, there is no requirement for tax withholding or payroll taxes; no requirement to contribute to state unemployment funds or to pay workers' compensation insurance; and no requirement to offer health insurance or other such benefits. For a company looking to stay lean and competitive, *and profitable*, it makes perfect sense to hire independent contractors.

The DOL's point of view, and that of the IRS as well, is decidedly different. There are a number of reasons why government has recently heightened its interest in worker misclassification. First and foremost is the same reason why private employers choose to hire independent contractors rather than employees - which is that companies generally do not have to withhold or pay payroll taxes, including Social Security (FICA) taxes and unemployment (FUTA) taxes, for independent contractors. Rather, it is the contractor's responsibility to pay these taxes, the compliance of which has been overwhelming the IRS by the millions of workers classified as contractors.

HOW TO CLASSIFY YOUR WORKERS

The biggest problem with 'classification' is that many workers identified as independent contractors do not meet the legal tests to qualify as such. Notice we said tests, not test ... which leads us to another significant problem confronting employers today on this issue. There is no single uniform test to apply to determine whether a worker is an employee or a contractor, but rather a multitude of tests. To add to the confusion, there are different tests to determine classification based on the specific law at issue. The FLSA has one test, entirely different from that used under Title VII. To ensure proper classification as part of your litigation avoidance policy, review all of your independent contractor and consultants agreements.

What ENA can offer, however, are these general guidelines:

- 1. Limit your control over the worker and the work -- the more you control the "when, where, and how", the more your contractor becomes your employee.
- 2. Limit the length of the contract for which the worker was hired -- the longer the term, the more likely an audit will say they are an employee. Your initial contract should provide for extensions.
- 3. Be specific about the financial terms in the contract, ensuring the contractor is responsible for all tax reporting obligations.
- 4. Be specific about the nature of the project for which the contractor has been hired -- the more specialized their skill, the more likely they have contractor status.
- 5. Determine whether the contractor is essentially working full-time for your company -- if so, employee status is more likely. Obtain disclosures from the contractor and ensure the initial contract specifies regular disclosure updates.



What Can Employers Do Right Now?

Ask Us ... We Can Help

As is typical in the ever-changing area of employment law in New Jersey, there are multiple, sometimes conflicting, laws to be interpreted and applied to the workplace.

The trick for HR right now is to ensure that flexibility and the 'competitive edge' stays with the company - ahead of the curve.

If your company is currently hiring contract workers, be sure to classify them correctly. An incorrect classification, even as an innocent mistake, can result in significant fines, penalties, and back taxes. We have the expertise to help

you review your procurement procedures and contracts and to implement the right policies to address these issues so as to minimize your Company's exposure to this new potential threat. We can help you!

Remember, you can also view us at <u>http://community.icontact.com/p/brianecurtisemploymentnewsalert</u> just copy and paste this address into your browser and you can instantly see our fresh and exciting new formats. To make it easier here, and more readily accessible to our subscribers, we are going to continue our basic format for the newsletters posted to our website.

Brian E. Curtis, Esq. Stryker, Tams & Dill, LLP

(732) 277-8261

becurtis@strykertams.com

(973) 491-9500

The materials and information disclosed in this <u>Employment News Alert</u> NewsFlash is for informational purposes only. It is not for the purpose of providing legal advice and does not create an attorney-client relationship between the firm of Stryker, Tams & Dill and the reader, or between Brian E. Curtis, Esq. and the reader.

Excerpts reprinted with permission Employment News Alert © 2004 Brian E. Curtis, Esq. Monthly NewsFlash © 2009 Brian E. Curtis, Esq.